

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/525,892 26710	03/15, 7590	05/02/2003	Alfonso Navarro	660005.98641	/ >
QUARLES & BRADY LLP 411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 2040				EXAMINER	
				SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	
				1761	
				DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
	Offic	Action Summary	09/525,892	NAVARRO ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Curtis E. Sherrer	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on th cov r sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1)[🛛	Responsi	ive to communication(s) filed on <u>01/2</u>	<u>9/03</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action	on is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)∐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disp sition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 12-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗆	_							
8) 🗌	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers		d					
		cation is objected to by the Examiner						
10) 🔲 🗆	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment				•				
2) Notice 3) Inform	of Draftspers ation Disclosu	es Cited (PTO-892) con's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		Office Acti	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 14				

Art Unit: 1761

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Claim 11 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 16.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 is indefinite because the scope of the phrases "substantially free" and "organic compounds known to be involved with beer staling" is unknown.

Claim 15 is indefinite because it is not seen how the claim further limits the sugar concentration recited in claim 4.

Claim 16 is indefinite because there is no antecedent basis for the phrase "maximum oxygen uptake rate."

Claim 17 is indefinite because the scope of the phrase "conventional fermentation method" is unknown.

Application/Control Number: 09/525,892

Art Unit: 1761

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-7 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seebeck in view of Shimamura *et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,265,000)(hereinafter Shimamura) and in further view of applicants' admissions.

Seebeck teaches that cited a in the previous Office Action (Paper #9), but does not necessarily and positively recite adding the fruit juice/yeast mixture to a wort.

Shimamura teaches the production of a beer like product, whereby yeast can be cultured in a wine must, comprised of any fruit. (Col. 4, lines 21-52). Any yeast can be used. It would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to add a fermented yeast/fruit juice mixture to a wort, as performed by Shimamura, in the process of Seebeck.

Fruit juice is inherently known to contain fructose and glucose (dextrose). .

With regard to the use of oxygen in the juice/yeast mixture and the non-use of oxygen in the wort, applicants admit that it is well known to "pitch wort containing no oxygen or reduced oxygen with a starter culture of yeast prepared by allowing yeast to grow with exposure to oxygen . . . ." (Page 4 of instant specification.) Therefore, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to oxygenate the yeast/juice mixture of both Seebeck and Shimamura and pitch it into a wort that has not been oxygenated.

Application/Control Number: 09/525,892

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant's attention is invited to *In re Levin*, 84 USPQ 232 and the cases cited therein, which are considered in point in the fact situation of the instant case, and wherein the Court stated on page 234 as follows:

This court has taken the position that new recipes or formulas for cooking food which involve the addition or elimination of common ingredients, or for treating them in ways which differ from the former practice, do not amount to invention, merely because it is not disclosed that, in the constantly developing art of preparing food, no one else ever did the particular thing upon which the applicant asserts his right to a patent. In all such cases, there is nothing patentable unless the applicant by a proper showing further establishes a coaction or cooperative relationship between the selected ingredients which produces a new, unexpected, and useful function. *In re Benjamin D. White*, 17 C.C.P.A (Patents) 956, 39 F.2d 974, 5 USPQ 267; *In re Mason et al.*, 33 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 1144, 156 F.2d 189, 70 USPQ 221.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-7 and 12-20 are have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 1761

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer whose telephone number is 703-308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer Primary Examiner

May 1, 2003